Mitutoyo

Decision Rules, TAR, and TUR

This unit of the Metrology Fundamentals series was developed by the Mitutoyo Institute of Metrology, the educational department within Mitutoyo America Corporation. The Mitutoyo Institute of Metrology provides educational courses, on-demand training videos, and other resources across a wide variety of measurement related topics including basic inspection techniques, principles of dimensional metrology, calibration methods, and GD&T. For more information on the educational opportunities available from Mitutoyo America Corporation, visit us at www.mitutoyo.com/education.

This technical bulletin addresses how measurement accuracy and uncertainty can be accounted for when making statements of conformity to specified requirements (In/Out tolerance or Pass/Fail decisions). In particular, this technical bulletin explores one specific rule, called the simple acceptance and rejection decision rule, which is the most commonly used decision rule in measurement practice. The technical basis for this document is the American national standard (ANSI standard) ASME B89.7.3.1-2001 Guidelines for Decision Rules, along with other historical documents.

Historical Practice

Without even knowing the formal rule, most people use the simple acceptance and rejection decision rule in almost all situations. The rule is as simple as its name – measured values inside the tolerance limits are considered acceptable (simple acceptance) and those outside the tolerance limits are considered unacceptable (simple rejection). This rule is so entrenched in practice that most people are confused to hear that other rules even exist (see ASME B89.7.3.1 for details on other rules). Measurement professionals are aware that there is always error in measurement, and the use of the simple acceptance and rejection decision rule has always been accompanied by some stipulation that the measurement quality is sufficiently good. To use this rule, it is therefore critical to define what sufficiently good measurement quality means.

In 1950 a U.S. Military Standard, MIL-STD-120 Gage Inspection, was released. This standard stated that when parts were being measured that the accuracy tolerances of the measuring equipment should not exceed 10% of the tolerances of the parts being checked. This rule is often called the 10:1 rule or the Gagemaker's Rule. For calibration of measuring equipment, MIL-STD-120 stated that the accuracy of the measurement standards used for calibration should not exceed 20% of the tolerances of the measuring equipment being calibrated. Both of these rules have transformed over the years into what is often called the TAR, or test accuracy ratio, and the past requirements of 10:1 or 5:1 are now typically stated as a 4:1 requirement, or 25% of tolerance.

Decision rules, and any associated ratios like the TAR, are all related to risk in measurement systems. Organizations must determine what decision rule meets their unique needs and which ratios, if any, are considered acceptable. In some cases, the decision rules are defined by standards, specifications, or the customer. The purpose of this technical bulletin is to add some clarity to the simple acceptance and rejection decision rule regarding how to calculate the ratios that are often part of the decision rule. The best or most appropriate decision rule to use is not addressed in this document.

Test Accuracy Ratio, TAR

The TAR is usually expressed as a ratio (4:1), a percentage of tolerance (25%), or a single value (4). All three cases have the same outcome. Let us look at some cases where the TAR is calculated as a single value and is required to be equal to or greater than four. For the first example, a manufactured part is measured, and the measured feature is a 20 mm diameter shaft with a tolerance of \pm 0.015 mm. The measuring instrument is a 0-25 mm outside micrometer with a specified accuracy tolerance of \pm 0.001 mm. The TAR is calculated as:

$$TAR = \frac{\pm Tolerance \ being \ checked}{\pm Accuracy \ of \ measuring \ equipment} = \frac{\pm 0.015 \ mm}{\pm 0.001 \ mm} = 15$$

In this first example the TAR = 15 is acceptable as it is greater than the requirement of four. Based on this rule, the outside micrometer is an acceptable choice for the measuring equipment. For a second example, let us look at the calibration of this same outside micrometer. The calibration is done using Grade AS-1 gage blocks. In accordance to ASME B89.1.9-2002, the tolerance for Grade AS-1 gage blocks up to 25 mm is \pm 0.30 µm. The TAR is calculated as:

$$TAR = \frac{\pm \text{ Tolerance being checked}}{\pm \text{ Accuracy of measuring equipment}} = \frac{\pm 1 \,\mu\text{m}}{\pm 0.3 \,\mu\text{m}} = 3.3$$

In this case, the TAR = 3.3 is not acceptable and different gage blocks should be considered. If Grade 0 gage blocks were used instead, with a tolerance of $\pm 0.14 \mu m$ up to 25 mm, the new TAR is calculated as:

$$TAR = \frac{\pm \text{ Tolerance being checked}}{\pm \text{ Accuracy of measuring equipment}} = \frac{\pm 1 \, \mu \text{m}}{\pm 0.14 \, \mu \text{m}} = 7.1$$

Following the TAR \geq 4 rule, for this micrometer calibration, Grade 0 gage blocks are acceptable but not Grade AS-1.

Test Uncertainty Ratio, TUR

The evaluation of measurement uncertainty stormed into commercial calibration practice in the late 1990's. As more and more calibration laboratories started calculating and documenting uncertainty, both in scopes of accreditation and in calibration certificates, the practice of using TAR calculations began to be replaced with the test uncertainty ratio, TUR. The use of simple acceptance and rejection decision rules with TUR requirements are now found in many national and international standards for the calibration of measuring equipment. For example, the American national standard for micrometers, ASME B89.1.13-2013, states that when assessing conformity with specification, a simple acceptance decision rule with a TUR \geq 4 shall be used.

TUR is calculated in a similar manner as the TAR; however, an estimate for the measurement uncertainty is needed. Evaluation of measurement uncertainty is beyond the scope of this technical bulletin; however, we can take advantage of examples published in standards, for example the ASME B89.1.13 standard includes an example uncertainty evaluation for the same micrometer example discussed above – a 0-25 mm outside micrometer being calibrated with Grade 0 gage blocks. In that example, the estimate of the measurement uncertainty is $\pm 0.25 \mu m$. The TUR is calculated as:

$$TUR = \frac{\pm \text{ Tolerance being checked}}{\pm \text{ Measurement uncertainty}} = \frac{\pm 1 \, \mu \text{m}}{\pm 0.25 \, \mu \text{m}} = 4$$

The TUR \geq 4 requirement is therefore narrowly achieved, and a simple acceptance decision rule can be used. In this example, the TUR = 4 when the TAR = 7.1. The TAR is generally larger than the TUR as measurement uncertainty includes all sources of variation and not just the specified accuracy of the measuring equipment. Both TAR and TUR can be useful in measurement practice. The TUR is most useful in selecting calibration providers, as ISO/IEC 17025 accreditation forces all laboratories to evaluate and document uncertainty. The TAR is quite useful in selecting new measuring equipment.

The Mitutoyo Calibration Laboratory in Aurora, Illinois, is the premier dimensional calibration laboratory in the United States. The laboratory offers accredited calibrations (A2LA 0750.01) of most Mitutoyo products and many non-Mitutoyo products as well. Contact 888-MITUTOYO or email calibration@mitutoyo.com. Customers are welcome to visit and tour the Calibration Laboratory.





In addition to offering the most complete line of dimensional measuring equipment and solutions, as well as the highest-level commercial calibration and inspection laboratory in North America, Mitutoyo America Corporation offers educational courses, customized on-site seminars, and online educational resources. Find out more at www.mitutoyo.com/education, email mim@mitutoyo.com, or call 888-MITUTOYO or 630-723-3620.